Overview and Scrutiny Committee Agenda

Wednesday, 26 February 2014 **7.00 pm**, Council Chamber Civic Suite Lewisham Town Hall London SE6 4RU

For more information contact: Salena Mulhere 0208 314 3380 Part 1

ltem		Pages
1.	Minutes of the meeting held on 10 February 2014	1 - 7
2.	Declarations of Interest	8 - 10
3.	Response from Mayor and Cabinet: Emergency Services Review To Follow	

4. Items to be referred to Mayor and Cabinet

Overview and Scrutiny Committee Members

Members of the committee, listed below, are summoned to attend the meeting to be held on Wednesday, 26 February 2014.

Barry Quirk, Chief Executive Tuesday, 18 February 2014

Councillor Alon Holl (Choir)	
Councillor Alan Hall (Chair)	
Councillor Kevin Bonavia (Vice-Chair)	
Councillor Olufunke Abidoye	
Councillor Jackie Addison	
Councillor Obajimi Adefiranye	
Councillor Anne Affiku	
Councillor Christine Allison	
Councillor Abdeslam Amrani	
Councillor Pauline Beck	
Councillor Paul Bell	
Councillor John Bowen	
Councillor David Britton	
Councillor Duwayne Brooks	
Councillor Suzannah Clarke	
Councillor Jenni Clutten	
Councillor Liam Curran	
Councillor Vincent Davis	
Councillor Amanda De Ryk	
Councillor Alexander Feakes	
Councillor Peggy Fitzsimmons	
Councillor Julia Fletcher	

Councillor Patsy Foreman
Councillor Vicky Foxcroft
Councillor Helen Gibson
Councillor Sven Griesenbeck
Councillor Carl Handley
Councillor Michael Harris
Councillor Ami Ibitson
Councillor Mark Ingleby
Councillor Stella Jeffrey
Councillor Darren Johnson
Councillor Madeliene Long
Councillor Chris Maines
Councillor Jim Mallory
Councillor Pauline Morrison
Councillor John Muldoon
Councillor Marion Nisbet
Councillor Stephen Padmore
Councillor Jacq Paschoud
Councillor John Paschoud
Councillor Philip Peake
Councillor Eva Stamirowski
Councillor Alan Till
Councillor Dan Whittle

Agenda Item 1

MINUTES OF THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE

Monday, 10 February 2014 at 7.00 pm

PRESENT: Councillors Alan Hall (Chair), Olufunke Abidoye, Abdeslam Amrani, Pauline Beck, Paul Bell, John Bowen, Suzannah Clarke, Liam Curran, Patsy Foreman, Carl Handley, Michael Harris, Mark Ingleby, Stella Jeffrey, Marion Nisbet, Jacq Paschoud, John Paschoud and Alan Till

ALSO PRESENT: Jeff Endean, Genevieve Macklin, Kevin Sheehan, Salena Mulhere and Barrie Neal

Apologies for absence were received from Councillor Anne Affiku, Councillor Christine Allison, Councillor Duwayne Brooks, Councillor Julia Fletcher, Councillor Vicky Foxcroft, Councillor Ami Ibitson, Councillor Darren Johnson, Councillor Pauline Morrison and Councillor Susan Wise

1. Minutes of the meeting held on 14 October 2013

Subject to noting the apologies of Cllr Alan Till, the minutes were agreed as a true record.

2. Declarations of Interest

Councillor Hall declared a non-prejudicial interest in item 3 as a board member of Phoenix Housing.

Councillors Bell and Nisbet declared a non-prejudicial interest in item 3 as board members of Lewisham Homes.

3. Draft London Housing Strategy Consultation

- 3.1 The Chair introduced the item and invited Genevieve Macklin to provide a brief introduction to the Draft Housing Strategy and the Draft Lewisham Response. The key points to note were:
 - There is no consultation on the Funding Prospectus, just on the Strategy. Officers have raised a number of questions regarding the prospectus with officers at the GLA.
 - The strategy sets out renewed targets for the delivery of homes across London, and a new range of "products" to deliver those homes.
 - The two rented models introduced are "capped" rent and "discounted" rent. Capped rent is set at not more than 50% of market rents, discounted is set at up to 80% of market rents.
 - The strategy states of the 42,000 new homes per year, 36% will be affordable of all types. Within that, there will be a further split of rented to flexible ownership of 60:40, and within the rented element there is a further 50:50 split between "capped" and "discounted" rent. Page 1

The net effect of this is tht 11% of the total should be at capped rent and a further 11% at discounted rent.

- Early discussions with Housing Association partners indicate that they agree with the view that the strategy is too prescriptive in terms of percentages of types of homes to be delivered, and that there should be more freedom for local authorities and partners to deliver homes that meet local need as appropriate.
- London Councils estimate that the target outlined in the strategy, of 42,000 new homes to be delivered each year, is too small and that in reality at least 80,000 to meet London's population growth and backlog need.
- Lewisham's current policy on tenancies retains security of tenure until the effects of welfare benefit changes and other housing changes are known; where no alternative was available flexible tenancies could be introduced, but the Lewisham policy states that this should be for a minimum of five years with lifetime tenancies retained for the over 65s and for people with serious permanent physical or mental vulnerabilities.
- The strategy says that fixed term tenancies should be "encouraged"; the prospectus says they are "expected". This is a concern as it removes local flexibility in managing tenancies, allocations and responding to local need
- The proposed lifting of the borrowing cap is welcomed; however under this proposal the Mayor of London would want to retain decision making powers over how the money is spent, and stipulates that it must be on new supply; this limits local ability to use resources as effectively as possible to meet local need.
- The strategy outlines plans for "institutional Investment" in the private rented sector.
- 3.2 In response to questions, Genevieve Macklin, Kevin Sheehan, Jeff Endean and Louise Spires advised:
 - 80% of market rent for any property above 2 bedrooms in Lewisham is unaffordable when taking into account universal credit and the welfare cap.
 - On the "rent-to-save" product, the strategy advises that if the savings plan isn't fulfilled within the 5 years, or if the tenant changes their mind, the tenancy could be extended or terminated.
 - The Council can build more than the stated 11% of capped rent homes, however it would need to use its own resources to do this.
 - All local development schemes have to be managed within the planning regulations laid out in legislation including the assessment of financial viability; Lewisham continues to press for the maximum possible percentage of affordable homes in all developments. Unless the legislation is changed there is nothing further Councils can do to ensure developers bring forward much higher levels of affordable housing.
 - Lewisham would like to see an increase in supply of all forms of housing, but the critical need for Lewisham is affordable homes.
 - Our strategic ambition for Lewisham is clearly outlined in our Sustainable Communities Strategy. The strategic housing target

remains to achieve 50% of new housing as affordable homes, even if this percentage is not achieved on every development.

- The calculation of what is affordable to tenants of social housing, which is based on the percentage of market rent that is charged, is different to the calculation used in all other sectors, notably to assess mortgage affordability and whether private tenants can afford the rent, where applicants are assessed by testing their net income against the proposed expenditure.. If the calculations for "affordable rents" were also made in relation to net disposable income rather than market rents, different realistic definitions of affordable would be reached.
- Within the strategy there is mention of institutional investment in the private rented sector. This is an attempt to get investors such as pension funds to invest in the private rented sector over the longer term to provide further stability for that sector.
- The new finance regime in the Housing Revenue Account (HRA) allows councils to be more flexible, for example in relation to extending existing homes.
- There has been an increase in homelessness across London and in Lewisham. This has been highlighted in the draft response: In the last 12 months there has been a 20% increase in accepting a duty to homeless households, an increase of 17% of households in temporary accommodation and a decrease in housing supply of 17%.
- Statistics around projected population growth and population "maximums" would be circulated to the Committee.
- The response could be amended to make more explicit the:
 - scale of the challenge facing London
 - data surrounding demand, supply, homelessness and population growth
 - strength of Lewisham's record of delivery,
 - importance of local flexibility in managing delivery of affordable homes
- Some Councils have changed the Management Agreement with their ALMOs to ensure that new supply is not subject to Right to Buy (RTB) as this reduces the properties available to them; Lewisham is likely to "lose" approximately 100 homes to RTB each year and this is replicated across all London boroughs, and there are limiting controls on the ability to spend those RTB receipts that, ideally, should be lifted.
- There is no current policy position on rent control and there are differing views on the benefits, there are concerns that it might discourage landlords and limit supply.
- Enforcement action and accreditation, in relation to the private rented sector, need to "have teeth" and be strong across London to be effective.
- Local authorities need to be able to manage allocations and delivery based on local need.

- The local connection requirements could be looked at as part of a review of the allocations policy; flexibility to enable people to move across London for employment or family reasons is important.
- It is suggested that the ability of people to "staircase up" their level of ownership in part buy part rent homes should be reviewed, to identify if these schemes are successfully achieving their aims.
- There is a funding stream related to Empty Homes and there are plans to submit a bid.
- 3.3 The Committee discussed the strategy further and considered:
 - The interchangeable use of the terms bubble/crisis/shortage and the different meanings they can have. Shelter use the term crisis.
 - The increase in London properties being purchased by foreign investors and the impact this may have on the housing market.
 - The use of the term affordable housing covering various levels of rent and low cost home ownership can disguise the fact that large numbers of "affordable" homes are not at a social rent level that many people would understand as "affordable".
 - The strength and clarity of response required, to this consultation, from Lewisham. The importance of clearly reflecting the realities of the situation, as well as highlighting the strong record Lewisham has on delivering affordable homes.
 - The level of reduction in available Housing Grant subsidy over recent years reducing the ability of Councils and partners to deliver affordable homes
 - The important role of financial viability assessments and the innovative work of local planning officers to introduce "ratchet/gearing" mechanisms in relation to development delivery, attempting to ensure that the level of affordable homes can be increased if retail prices are higher than initially anticipated
 - The pros and cons of introducing rent control as an additional mechanism to support the delivery of affordable housing for Londoners.
 - Whether the Help to Buy programme had hindered delivery in London by pushing up demand.
 - The higher purchase and rental prices become the less affordable they are for the majority of working Londoners, even if discounted to 80%.
- 3.4 In concluding their discussions the Committee resolved the following:

General comments

3.5 The urgency of the housing shortage in London is not sufficiently recognised in the strategy. Purchase and rental prices are escalating at an alarming rate as is the level of homelessness which increased by 20% in Lewisham in the last 12 months alone. The challenge of providing sufficient genuinely affordable homes is not fully recognised or addressed. The real scale of housing need must be strongly emphasised in Lewisham's response. London housing is being used as an investment vehicle in foreign markets distorting the local economy.

- 3.6 Lewisham has a strong record of providing affordable housing in recent years, in spite of reduced grant and challenging market conditions. This should be highlighted in the response to:
 - emphasise the pivotal role of Local authorities' in meeting local housing need,
 - stress the importance of appropriate funding availability coupled with local decision making responsibilities, in the successful delivery of affordable homes
- 3.7 The Funding Prospectus which was published alongside the draft housing strategy should be amended in light of the consultation responses to the draft strategy. It is very prescriptive in parts.
- 3.8 The sustained decrease in level of grant available substantially reduces the ability to provide genuinely affordable housing across London. The Mayor of London should lobby government on this matter on behalf of Londoners.

New Supply

3.9 42,000 new homes per year are not sufficient to meet demand. London Councils estimate that at least 60,000 new homes are needed each year. This point should be emphasised within the Lewisham response.

Tenure mix

3.10 Strong support for tenure mix should be outlined, along with support for the introduction of the capped rent model. However it should also be unequivocally stated that Lewisham feels that decisions about the appropriate mix of tenure, and utilisation of the affordable housing models should be led locally based on local need, rather than prescribed in the percentages outlined.

Rents and affordability

- 3.11 80% of market rent is not affordable housing for people in Lewisham. The Lewisham response should include detailed figures of the level of need in Lewisham in relation homelessness, tenure mix, the median rental prices in Lewisham, details of local income and projected population growth to emphasise this point. The Mayor of London's strategy should clearly distinguish between social rented and other products.
- 3.12 In line with the Lewisham policy; affordability of rents should be measured in relation to an appropriate percentage of net disposable income for a range of households, rather than in percentages of market rent prices. This would be in line with "affordability" calculations carried out for mortgage provision and private rented provision.
- 3.13 The legislation and models of assessment, of the financial viability of proposed planned developments, should be subject to further review in relation to planning and community "gain".
- 3.14 Rent Control should be further considered as a potential additional mechanism to support the delivery of affordable housing for Londoners.

3.15 Enforcement, in relation to housing standards in the private sector, needs to be strengthened – using compulsion if necessary - across London to be as effective as possible.

Tenancies

3.16 The Lewisham response should strongly state that Lewisham is opposed to the enforced use of fixed term tenancies: decisions about appropriate tenancies to meet need should continue to be to be taken locally.

Nominations and Allocations

3.17 Local authorities have a statutory responsibility to manage allocations. Agreement of an allocations policy should remain a local authority responsibility, and should not be dictated to by the Mayor of London. This should be given greater emphasis in the Lewisham response.

Investment

- 3.18 The removal of the borrowing cap is welcomed, but the response should state in the strongest terms that Local Authorities should be free to manage their resources as necessary to meet local need and not be constrained by case by case intervention from the Mayor of London.
- 3.19 The allocation of Right to Buy receipts, towards existing programmes of delivery if appropriate, should be free to manage locally, to maximise the potential delivery of affordable homes.

Design and sustainability

3.20 Whilst welcoming a focus on sustainability and carbon neutral homes, it must be recognised this increases the build price of homes, further impacting on the affordability of homes.

Further recommendations

- 3.21 Raising the "local connection" requirement from 2 years to 5 years, within the Lewisham Housing Allocations Policy, should be further considered.
- 3.22 The following recommendations, made initially by the joint meeting of the Housing and Sustainable Development Select Committees on 2 December 2013, should be reiterated within the Lewisham response:

The Mayor of London should review the way in which affordable housing statistics for developments in London are presented, to clearly show the breakdown of the different "types" of affordable housing achieved including social rented, affordable rented and intermediate. The Mayor should also consider including figures for the actual rents that will be charged.

The GLA and the Council should explore the ground rent model (where public bodies lease land to developers in return for ground rent payments that are indexed to land values) in relation to future developments, where appropriate.

RESOLVED: To:

• refer the agreed points (3.5 to 3.22) to Mayor and Cabinet

- to table a "note" of the meeting at Mayor and Cabinet
- reserve the right to make a separate submission to the consultation
- authorise the Chair to finalise the referral, and any separate submission, on behalf of the Committee.

4. Referrals to Mayor and Cabinet

The Committee agreed to refer their views on item 3, as outlined in sections 3.5 to 3.22, to the Mayor and Cabinet for consideration.

Agenda Item 2

Overview and Scrutiny Committee									
Title Declaration of interests									
Contributor	Chief Executive				2				
Class	Part 1 (Open)	Date	26 February 2014						

Declaration of interests

Members are asked to declare any personal interest they have in any item on the agenda.

1. Personal interests

There are three types of personal interest referred to in the Council's Member Code of Conduct:

- (1) Disclosable pecuniary interests
- (2) Other registerable interests
- (3) Non-registerable interests

2. Disclosable pecuniary interests are defined by regulation as:-

- (a) <u>Employment,</u> trade, profession or vocation of a relevant person* for profit or gain
- (b) <u>Sponsorship</u> –payment or provision of any other financial benefit (other than by the Council) within the 12 months prior to giving notice for inclusion in the register in respect of expenses incurred by you in carrying out duties as a member or towards your election expenses (including payment or financial benefit from a Trade Union).
- (c) <u>Undischarged contracts</u> between a relevant person* (or a firm in which they are a partner or a body corporate in which they are a director, or in the securities of which they have a beneficial interest) and the Council for goods, services or works.
- (d) <u>Beneficial interests in land</u> in the borough.
- (e) Licence to occupy land in the borough for one month or more.
- (f) <u>Corporate tenancies</u> any tenancy, where to the member's knowledge, the Council is landlord and the tenant is a firm in which the relevant person* is a partner, a body corporate in which they are a director, or in the securities of which they have a beneficial interest.
- (g) <u>Beneficial interest in securities</u> of a body where:
 - (a) that body to the member's knowledge has a place of business or land in the borough; and

(b) either

(i) the total nominal value of the securities exceeds £25,000 or 1/100 of the total issued share capital of that body; or
(ii) if the share capital of that body is of more than one class, the total nominal value of the shares of any one class in which the relevant person* has a beneficial interest exceeds 1/100 of the total issued share capital of that class.

*A relevant person is the member, their spouse or civil partner, or a person with whom they live as spouse or civil partner.

3. Other registerable interests

The Lewisham Member Code of Conduct requires members also to register the following interests:-

- (a) Membership or position of control or management in a body to which you were appointed or nominated by the Council
- (b) Any body exercising functions of a public nature or directed to charitable purposes, or whose principal purposes include the influence of public opinion or policy, including any political party
- (c) Any person from whom you have received a gift or hospitality with an estimated value of at least £25

4. Non registerable interests

Occasions may arise when a matter under consideration would or would be likely to affect the wellbeing of a member, their family, friend or close associate more than it would affect the wellbeing of those in the local area generally, but which is not required to be registered in the Register of Members' Interests (for example a matter concerning the closure of a school at which a Member's child attends).

5. Declaration and Impact of interest on members' participation

- (a) Where a member has any registerable interest in a matter and they are present at a meeting at which that matter is to be discussed, they must declare the nature of the interest at the earliest opportunity and in any event before the matter is considered. The declaration will be recorded in the minutes of the meeting. If the matter is a disclosable pecuniary interest the member must take not part in consideration of the matter and withdraw from the room before it is considered. They must not seek improperly to influence the decision in any way. <u>Failure to declare such an interest</u> which has not already been entered in the Register of Members' Interests, or participation where such an interest exists, is liable to prosecution and on conviction carries a fine of up to £5000
- (b) Where a member has a registerable interest which falls short of a disclosable pecuniary interest they must still declare the nature of the interest to the meeting at the earliest opportunity and in any event before

the matter is considered, but they may stay in the room, participate in consideration of the matter and vote on it unless paragraph (c) below applies.

- (c) Where a member has a registerable interest which falls short of a disclosable pecuniary interest, the member must consider whether a reasonable member of the public in possession of the facts would think that their interest is so significant that it would be likely to impair the member's judgement of the public interest. If so, the member must withdraw and take no part in consideration of the matter nor seek to influence the outcome improperly.
- (d) If a non-registerable interest arises which affects the wellbeing of a member, their, family, friend or close associate more than it would affect those in the local area generally, then the provisions relating to the declarations of interest and withdrawal apply as if it were a registerable interest.
- (e) Decisions relating to declarations of interests are for the member's personal judgement, though in cases of doubt they may wish to seek the advice of the Monitoring Officer.

6. Sensitive information

There are special provisions relating to sensitive interests. These are interests the disclosure of which would be likely to expose the member to risk of violence or intimidation where the Monitoring Officer has agreed that such interest need not be registered. Members with such an interest are referred to the Code and advised to seek advice from the Monitoring Officer in advance.

7. Exempt categories

There are exemptions to these provisions allowing members to participate in decisions notwithstanding interests that would otherwise prevent them doing so. These include:-

- (a) Housing holding a tenancy or lease with the Council unless the matter relates to your particular tenancy or lease; (subject to arrears exception)
- (b) School meals, school transport and travelling expenses; if you are a parent or guardian of a child in full time education, or a school governor unless the matter relates particularly to the school your child attends or of which you are a governor;
- (c) Statutory sick pay; if you are in receipt
- (d) Allowances, payment or indemnity for members
- (e) Ceremonial honours for members
- (f) Setting Council Tax or precept (subject to arrears exception)